

# An Integrated Pest Management Strategic Plan

## For Oregon and Washington Cranberries



Photo: Lynn Ketchum, © Oregon State University

Two workers harvest cranberries on the Bussmann bogs near Bandon, Oregon.

**Katie Murray, Paul Jepson, Cassie Bouska, Kim Patten**

# Table of Contents

---

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <b>Introduction: Process for this Integrated Pest Management Strategic Plan (“IPMSP”)</b> ..... | <b>3</b>  |
| Work Group Members.....   | 4         |
| Top-priority Critical Needs.....  | 5         |
| Cranberry Production Overview.....  | 6         |
| Integrated Pest Management Overview in Cranberry Production.....                                | 7         |
| IPM Critical Needs.....   | 9         |
| Growing Cranberries for Export Markets.....   | 11        |
| List of Major Cranberry Pests.....  | 12        |
| Cranberry Pests by Crop Stage.....  | 13        |
| Major Cranberry Pest Descriptions.....  | 14        |
| <b>Cranberry Pest Management by Crop Stage</b> .....  | <b>18</b> |
| Dormancy to bud-break (November–April).....   | 19        |
| Shoot elongation (April–May).....   | 22        |
| Bloom (May–July).....   | 25        |
| Fruit set–development (June–September).....   | 28        |
| Harvest (August–November).....  | 31        |
| Invasive and Emerging Pests.....  | 33        |
| References.....   | 34        |
| <b>Appendix</b> .....   | <b>35</b> |
| Activity Tables for Cranberries in OR and WA.....   | 36        |
| Seasonal Pest Management for Cranberries in Oregon.....   | 37        |
| Seasonal Pest Management for Cranberries in Washington.....                                     | 38        |
| Cranberry Pesticide Risk Management Table.....  | 39        |
| Efficacy Ratings for INSECT Management Tools in Cranberry.....                                  | 43        |
| Efficacy Ratings for DISEASE and PATHOGEN Management Tools in Cranberry.....                    | 45        |
| Efficacy Ratings for WEED Management Tools in Cranberry.....                                    | 47        |
| Using PAMS terminology.....   | 48        |
| Pesticide Risk Classification.....  | 50        |

This publication is a summary of a workshop held April 7, 2017 in Bandon, Oregon. This project was sponsored with funding from the Applied Research and Development program of the National Institute of Food and Agriculture, US Department of Agriculture, Project Number 2016-07652. The “IPMSP” format is research in progress.

**Contact:**

Katie Murray  
Integrated Plant Protection Center, Oregon State University  
2040 Cordley Hall  
Corvallis, OR 97331-2915  
[katie.murray@oregonstate.edu](mailto:katie.murray@oregonstate.edu)

---

Katie Murray, program leader for IPM Engagement and Implementation, Integrated Plant Protection Center, and assistant professor of practice, Department of Environmental and Molecular Toxicology; Paul Jepson, director of Integrated Plant Protection Center and Professor, Department of Environmental and Molecular Toxicology; Cassie Bouska, Extension agriculture faculty, Coos and Curry counties, and assistant professor (practice) of horticulture, all of Oregon State University; and Kim Patten, Extension horticulturist and Pacific County Extension director, Washington State University.

---

# Introduction: Process for this Integrated Pest Management Strategic Plan (“IPMSP”)

In a proactive effort to identify pest management priorities and lay a foundation for future strategies and increased use of Integrated Pest Management (IPM) in cranberry production, growers, commodity group representatives, processors, university specialists, and other technical experts from the cranberry industry in Oregon and Washington formed a work group and assembled this publication, *Integrated Pest Management Strategic Plan for Oregon and Washington Cranberries*. Members of the group met for a day in March 2017 in Bandon, Oregon, and a day in April 2017 in Myrtle Point, Oregon, where they discussed and reached consensus about this document, outlining major pests, current management practices, critical needs, activity timetables, and efficacy ratings of various management tools for specific pests in cranberry production. The result is a comprehensive strategic plan that addresses many IPM and pest-specific critical needs for the Oregon and Washington cranberry industry.

A list of top-priority critical needs was created, drawn from all of the needs appearing throughout the document, compiled from our March and April meetings. This list was based on a group voting process at the April meeting. A list of broader IPM needs was also developed based on input from both the March and April meetings, where attendees were asked to summarize needs for IPM-specific topics. Crop-stage-specific critical needs are also listed and discussed throughout the body of the document.

The document begins with an overview of cranberry production, followed by discussion of critical production aspects of this crop, including the basics of IPM in cranberry production in this region. Each pest is described briefly, with links provided for more information about the biology and life cycle of each pest. Within each major pest grouping (insects, diseases, and weeds), individual pests are presented in alphabetical order, not in order of importance. The remainder of the document is an analysis of management practices and challenges organized by crop life stage in an effort to assist the reader in understanding whole-season management practices and constraints. Current management practices are presented using a “Prevention, Avoidance, Monitoring, and Suppression” (PAMS) framework that places practices within a simple IPM classification and to demonstrate areas where additional tools or practices may be needed. For more information on PAMS, see the appendix titled “Using PAMS Terminology” on page 48).

Trade names for certain pesticides are used as an aid to readers. The use of trade names in this document does not imply endorsement by the work group or any of the organizations represented.

# Work Group Members

## In attendance

Joe Arndt, Arndt Cranberry Farms  
Stephanie Arriola, Arriola Bogs  
Tony Arriola, Arriola Bogs  
Cassie Bouska, Oregon State University  
Bob Donaldson, Oregon Cranberry Growers Association  
John Freitag, Friday Farms  
Kevin Hatton, HB Cranberries, LLC  
Dave Kranick, Kranberry Acres  
Chase Metzger, organic grower, Washington State University Long Beach Research and Extension Unit  
Kim Patten, Washington State University Long Beach Research and Extension Unit  
Martin Paulson, Paulson Farms  
Robert Quinby, R. Quinby Farms  
Matt Reichenberger, M. Reichenberger Farm

## Others in attendance

Paul Jepson, Integrated Plant Protection Center, Oregon State University  
Katie Murray, Integrated Plant Protection Center, Oregon State University

## Contributing work group members not in attendance at workshop

David Bellamy, Ocean Spray Cranberries, Inc., Washington  
Dennis Bowman, Bowman Bogs  
Joe DeFrancesco, Oregon State University  
Don Kloft, Ocean Spray Cranberries, Inc., Oregon  
Sarah Osborne, Peters Cranberries  
Delmar Robison, Cran Flora Bogs  
Sam Stoddard, Glen Flora Bogs



Photo: © Oregon State University

A cranberry harvest in full swing

# Top-priority Critical Needs

*The following critical needs were voted as the “top-priority” list of needs by the work group members present at the April meeting. Crop-stage-specific aspects of these needs, as well as additional needs, are listed and discussed throughout the body of the document.*

## Research topics

- Alternative and replacement chemistries for management of all major cranberry pests
- Expanded and increased decision-support tools for cranberry pest management
- Critical cranberry pests (including scale, sheep sorrel, lily of the valley) and best management practices that respond to critical needs
- Economic thresholds for major cranberry insects, diseases, and weeds
- Effective controls for black vine weevil, including chemical control and alternative tactics (currently registered chemical controls have not offered lasting control)
- Better understanding of the mechanism for frost protection using sprinklers (microclimatology for the coastal cranberry industry)
- Optimal timing for irrigation management and frost protection

## Regulatory actions

- Establish formal communication with relevant parties regarding the challenges with maximum residue limits (MRLs) and exporting cranberries internationally.
- Maintain current registrations for commonly used pesticide products.
- Pursue registration of additional horticultural oils for use in cranberries against scale insects.

## Education

- Maintain resistance-management education for insecticides, fungicides, and herbicides.
- Maintain education on proper use and timing of commonly used pesticides.
- Maintain education to growers on available decision-support tools (such as leaf wetness model, evapotranspiration monitoring for irrigation scheduling, value of various sensor systems).
- Increase education to growers on economic thresholds for major pests, once established.
- Educate growers on optimal timing for irrigation management and frost protection.
- Educate growers on the importance of scouting and monitoring for black-headed fireworm.



Photo: Lynn Ketchum, © Oregon State University

An early morning harvest gets underway in Bandon, Oregon.

# Cranberry Production Overview

The American cranberry, *Vaccinium macrocarpon* Ait., is cultivated in the Pacific Northwest (Washington, Oregon, and British Columbia), Upper Midwest (Wisconsin), Northeast (New Jersey, Massachusetts, and Maine), Canadian Maritime Provinces, and Chile. It has been grown commercially in the Pacific Northwest since the 1880s.

There are approximately 4,600 acres in cranberry production in Oregon and Washington. The bulk of this acreage is concentrated in the southwest coastal regions of both states, with approximately 2,900 acres in Oregon and 1,700 acres in Washington. Multiple varieties are grown, with the most popular being Stevens, Pilgrims, McFarlin, Grygleski 1, and Yellow River. Some growers are producing Crimson Queen, Mullica Queen, Demoraville, Welker, Haines, Hyred, and Sundance varieties. Farms average 10 to 20 acres in size, with some as large as 200 acres. Oregon and Washington production comprises an annual farm gate value of \$18 to \$25 million, accounting for roughly 9 percent of U.S. cranberry production.

A common misconception is that cranberries are grown in water, but this is not the case. Cranberries are produced on low-growing, long-lived perennial vines that are grown in 1- to 20-acre plantings called “beds.” Vines are established by spreading freshly pruned vines on a carefully prepared field of sand (6 to 10 inches of sand over peat, muck, or topsoil), and the vines are set to a depth of 1 to 3 inches into the sand with a disc-like implement. Another method of establishment involves planting greenhouse-raised plants to a similar depth on a 12-inch square pattern. As these vines take root, new shoots grow (referred to as “uprights”), and the field eventually fills in. The vines root only in the top 2 inches, and must be fertilized and watered frequently. Beds will fill in with a solid mat of vines over several years.

Cranberries require acidic soils with a pH of 4.0 to 5.5, which is why the coastal region is so well suited to their production. In Oregon, beds are constructed by layering sand over organic or clay subgrade soil. In Washington, beds have traditionally been planted on muck or peat soils, but more commonly, a thick layer of sand is applied over an organic soil layer.

The Mediterranean climate of the region, combined with almost constant summer winds, requires regular irrigation during summer. Frost control is also necessary during the sensitive spring months. Solid-set sprinkler irrigation is utilized in the beds.

New cranberry beds are typically planted between March and May, and require about 3 years to reach full production potential, reaching their peak after 4 to 6 years. The cranberry fruit cycle is 16 months long, with bud set occurring around June of year 1, and harvest occurring in the fall of the following year. Bloom timing is protracted on the West Coast, with bloom beginning as early as mid-May and lasting 4 to 6 weeks.

Cranberries are a perennial crop, and maintaining production requires the control of pests over the duration of the bed’s life, which can be from 20 to more than 30 years. Research points to the benefits of bed renovation every 10 years. However, given the expense of renovation, and the current state of the market, it is unlikely that a 10-year rotation will become a commonly adopted strategy in the Pacific Northwest.

Cranberries require pollination, and Pacific Northwest growers typically rent hives for pollination services. Cranberries are harvested from September to November, with the majority of the fruit harvested by the end of October.

Cranberries are harvested by one of two methods. For processed fruit, the beds are “wet picked.” In this scenario, the bed is flooded with water, and the berries are removed with a “beater” or harrow. The berries are then corralled in the water using a boom, and removed from the bed to an awaiting truck using an elevator or water pump. For fresh market fruit, beds are usually harvested using a “Furford” harvester. This is essentially a small combine about 2 feet wide, which scoops the fruit off the vines into “gunny sacks.” The sacks, containing 30 to 50 pounds of fruit, are then removed from the bed, and the fruit is cleaned and sorted for the fresh market.

# Integrated Pest Management Overview in Cranberry Production

The historical average cranberry yield in the Pacific Northwest is lower than other major U.S. growing areas. Reasons for this comparatively lower yield include weather, off-type germplasm, and inordinately higher levels of pests, including weeds (which can reduce production by 15 percent or more), diseases, and insects. Additionally, many Pacific Northwest farms were constructed more than 50 years ago, and bed placement was opportunistic, often following the natural lay of the land. As such, these older beds are often irregularly shaped and have engineering issues that impose limitations on production such as water holding capability and drainage. Although re-engineering cranberry beds of this nature would be beneficial, the cost would be prohibitive.

Thus, some Pacific Northwest farms are producing on lower yielding dry-harvested beds, with low degree-day units and sunlight during the growing season, inclement weather during pollination, and a low percentage of renovated beds. Some factors affecting production, such as pest management, can be easily addressed through research and education efforts; other factors, such as weather, require a long-term genetic approach.

The West Coast cranberry industry is challenged by several insect pests, including cranberry tipworm, black-headed fireworm, scale insects, and black vine weevil.

Cranberry tipworm, *Dasineura oxycoccana*, is a relatively recent pest to the Pacific Northwest, so growers are still learning how to manage it. It is currently only a problem in Washington and northwest Oregon farms. The decision to apply an insecticide treatment against cranberry tipworm is based on monitoring and the concurrent absence of pollinators from the field. It has been difficult to identify efficacious nonsystemic insecticides.

Black-headed fireworm, *Rhopobota naevana*, is the most common major insect pest. If left uncontrolled, this pest quickly devastates beds for several years of production. In Washington, approximately 30 percent of growers use pheromone trap counts to inform them of the optimal timing for insecticide applications. While this pest can be managed chemically on conventional farms, management on organically managed farms has been an ongoing challenge.

Two species of scale, for example, brown soft scale (*Coccus hesperidum*) and greedy scale (*Hemiberlesia rapax*), have become problematic over the past decade in southwest Oregon farms. Brown soft scale insects are relatively easy to control using an organic-approved chemistry with appropriate timing. Greedy scale insects, on the other hand, require a more precise approach. Currently, only properly timed organophosphate applications have shown to be effective. Efforts to train and educate growers to scout for and properly identify greedy scale have been successful and have improved their timing and decreased the number of insecticide applications. Further work is needed to identify softer, target-specific chemistries that will be efficacious against greedy scale insects.

Black vine weevil (*Otiorhynchus sulcatus*) has been difficult to control, and as a result of its high fecundity and ability to cause severe damage, it remains a significant pest. However, because the soil dwelling larvae are susceptible to flooding, pest issues can be avoided in diked beds, which are flooded periodically for harvest and hygiene practices. Most dry-harvested beds need effective management plans for black vine weevil.

A major thrust of research on black vine weevil control has been directed toward entomopathogenic nematodes and fungi over the past three decades. While these management approaches can be effective, they remain cost-prohibitive for most growers. Controls that focus on traditional chemicals have shown only marginal efficacy, and have also raised concerns regarding pollinators. Identification of alternative management programs for the insect remains a critical need.



Photo: Lynn Ketchum, © Oregon State University

A handful of cranberries still on the vine.

Fungal pathogens and diseases include those that impact the vines themselves, as well as those that infect the fruit. All are managed through fungicide applications. Foliar diseases such as twig blight (*Lophodermium* spp.) have the greatest potential for loss in cranberries. While relatively easy to control with well-timed conventional fungicides, there are no effective organic-approved fungicides for use against this disease. Other foliar diseases, like rose bloom and red leaf spot, can be easily suppressed with well-timed fungicides.

Cranberry fruit rot is an important disease complex comprising at least 15 different fungal pathogens that can cause a yield loss of 15 to 30 percent in some cases. The fungal population responsible for field and storage rot is a constantly changing variable due to a number of factors, including evolving weather patterns over the last decade toward a warmer climate, the renovation and replanting of many cranberry beds with high-producing new hybrid cultivars, and changing fungicide-use patterns with newly registered materials. Growers in Oregon and Washington have encountered significantly higher fruit rot levels in the past decade, and preharvest fungicide use has increased as well, especially for fresh market growers. Continued use of single mode-of-action fungicides will make fungicide resistance management critical in the future.

Control of recalcitrant weed species is a major challenge for Pacific Northwest cranberry growers. Registration of new herbicides over the past decade has contributed to a reduction in losses from weeds, and improvements in grower returns. Nevertheless, some perennial weeds, such as sheep sorrel, yellow loosestrife, lotus, and false lily-of-the-valley have remained extremely difficult to manage. Resistance management for herbicides will be critical going forward.

Finally, a market oversupply of cranberries has marginalized grower returns over the last decade, and the long-term market outlook is bleak. Implementation of cost savings by minimizing pest management inputs will be a critical component of production over the next decade.



# IPM Critical Needs

The following list of broad IPM needs was compiled based on input from meetings held in March and April 2017, with IPMSP work group members and other representatives from the cranberry industry in Oregon and Washington. This list is not pest- or crop-stage specific, but applies more generally to IPM development and IPM benefits across the cranberry industry. At these meetings, participants were asked to summarize needs related to each of the following headings.

## Decision and knowledge support

- Develop models to aid growers in pesticide selection, application, and timing that also take into account pest thresholds.
- Increase research on resistance management with commonly used products.
- Continue education to growers on resistance management best practices.
- Develop pest management decision-support tools that increase grower confidence in being able to minimize or eliminate use of certain pesticides, increase efficacy, and improve economics.
- Seek funding and support for an applied research workforce that addresses cranberry issues.
- Provide growers with increased access to IPM resources and education.
- Encourage training and employment of more IPM consultants to serve the cranberry industry.
- Increase financial support for current weather station programs (Agri-Met, Ag Weather Net).

## Development of alternatives to agro-chemicals

- Conduct analysis on the economic feasibility for using certain higher-cost alternative products, including pest thresholds.
- Develop and submit a formal position paper responding to the rule change regarding the conventional-organic-conventional rotation cycle. If only one of these rotation cycles is allowed, many unnecessary constraints to organic production are created by this rule.

## Whole-farm and area-wide management

- Develop research and education around whole-farm cranberry pollination ecology.
- Conduct education about on-farm plantings and habitats that support native pollinators.
- Identify existing pollinator and natural enemy habitat, and encourage communication and storytelling about these successes.

## Pollinator protection

- Identify more effective tools for treating pests at bloom, including products with short enough residual times to be used at night, to protect managed and native pollinators.
- Develop a useable tool to assist growers in knowing exactly where managed hives are located in relation to their farm to help minimize risk of nontarget exposure to pesticides during bloom.
- Develop a protection plan for native pollinators, which contribute 30 to 40 percent of pollination services in cranberry.
- Identify strategies to support and grow native pollinator populations.
- Research the impacts of commonly used fungicides on native and managed pollinators.

- Identification of effective chemical and nonchemical controls for black-headed fireworm to reduce use of pyrethroids.
- Increase research of overall pollinator health with respect to the sequence of migratory pollinator usage among other crops to determine true impacts from cranberry production.

### **Beneficial and natural enemy protection**

- Collect data on the presence of beneficials and natural enemies in cranberry beds.
- Research the use of natural predators for cranberry pest control (such as fireworm control).
- Research and register effective products for managing insect pests that do not impact beneficials and natural enemies.
- Research effects of prolonged use of organophosphates on populations of secondary pests, and impacts to beneficials of declining organophosphate usage.

### **Certification needs**

- Explore certification programs beyond organic that could provide access to elite marketplaces (such as “bee safe” certification).
- Develop a marketing focus within the Oregon Cranberry Growers Association that can “brand” Pacific Northwest berries.
- Conduct a “Meeting the Standard” education program to provide information about how to best meet standards from various certifiers.

### **Human health and worker protection**

- Educate growers on new EPA worker protection standard.

### **Water quality**

- Conduct effective education programs for growers aimed at improving water quality.
- Research effective alternatives to products of concern (such as diazinon and chlorpyrifos).
- Establish a water quality baseline and current water quality conditions to determine needs for additional water quality improvement.
- Work with pesticide registrants and IR-4 program on registration of new products for cranberry with low aquatic impacts.
- Public education to correct misinformation about impacts to water quality from cranberry production.
- Create marketing materials that effectively convey the positives related to cranberry production and water quality.



Photo: Lynn Ketchum,  
© Oregon State University  
A worker uses a boom  
to collect cranberries.

## Growing Cranberries for Export Markets

The harmonization of international maximum residue levels (MRLs) is a high priority for the Oregon and Washington cranberry industry. The MRL for a specific pesticide is the maximum safe and legal amount of pesticide residue that is allowed in or on an agricultural commodity. An MRL may exist in the United States but not in the importing country, or the MRL of the importing country may be set so low that use of the product on fruit grown for export is not feasible. These factors influence the pest management options a cranberry grower can use in the field.

Much of the Pacific Northwest cranberry crop is used for the export market. The differential in returns to growers for fruit that can be sold for export compared to fruit sold for domestic consumption is significant enough that growers will avoid the use of a highly efficacious, labeled pesticide if that pesticide does not have export MRLs. The necessity of adhering to the MRLs of the importing country has increased the cranberry industry's exposure to economic losses. These economic risks take the form of:

- Having fruit rejected because a pesticide residue is found that, despite being legal in the United States, does not conform to the importing country's MRL standard.
- Limiting the control options that can be used on the cranberry crop to meet the customer's MRL standard. Export growers are unable to use a pesticide that might be more efficacious, less expensive, or required for resistance management.

Although an MRL may be pending in an export market, it cannot be applied until it is established. This situation often limits the choice of pest management tools in the cranberry grower's fields. The products lacking an MRL in the importing country are often those that are newly registered in the United States. They are often the products of choice because they are target-specific and fit well within an IPM program; do not have negative mammalian or environmental impacts; and are safe to pollinators and other beneficial organisms.

There are currently several pesticide products registered for use in Oregon and Washington but not allowed in certain export markets. For example, quinclorac has been registered for the past several years in Oregon and Washington, but it is rarely used because the MRL in the European Union is two orders of magnitude lower than in the United States (0.01 ppm in the EU, effectively a nondetect level, vs. 1.5 ppm in the United States). Growers who use quinclorac are not qualified to export fruit for 2 years after its use. Many examples exist for products in other export markets, such as Korea and Japan.

Clearly, the harmonization of international MRLs is a global issue that affects both the availability of effective tools for IPM and the return that growers receive for their fruit. It is a major issue that impacts the pest management practices of Oregon and Washington cranberry growers, and often places them at a disadvantage in the international marketplace.

# List of Major Cranberry Pests

(listed alphabetically)

## Insects and Nematodes

Black-headed fireworm (*Rhopobota naevana*)  
Black vine weevil (*Otiorhynchus sulcatus*)  
Brown soft scale (*Coccus hesperidum*)  
Cranberry fruitworm (*Acrobasis vaccinii*)  
Cranberry girdler (*Chrysoteuchia topiaria*)  
Cutworm (numerous species, see entry p. 15)  
Greedy scale (*Hemiberlesia rapax*)  
Tipworm (*Dasineura oxycoccana*)

## Diseases and Pathogens

Cottonball (*Monilinia oxycocci*)  
Fruit rot (numerous fungi, see entry p. 15)  
Lophodermium twig blight (*Lophodermium oxycocci*)  
Phytophthora root rot (*Phytophthora* spp.)  
Red leaf spot (*Exobasidium rostrupii*)  
Rose bloom (*Exobasidium vaccinii*)  
Upright dieback (*Diaporthe vaccinii*)

## Weeds

Annual bluegrass (*Poa annua*)  
Arrowgrass (*Triglochin paulstris*)  
Blackberry (*Rubus armeniacus*, *R. ursinus*)  
Bog St. Johnswort (*Hypericum anagalloides*)  
Creeping bentgrass (*Agrostis stolonifera*)  
Creeping buttercup (*Ranunculus repens*)  
Horsetail (*Equisetum arvense*)  
Lotus (*Lotus corniculatus*)  
Moss  
Nutsedge (*Cyperus* spp.)  
Purple aster (*Aster subspicatus*)  
Purple-leaved willowherb (*Epilobium ciliatum*)  
Salal (*Gaultheria shallon*)  
Sheep sorrel (sour dock) (*Rumex acetosella*)  
Silverleaf (*Potentilla pacifica*)  
Slough sedge (cutgrass) (*Carex obnupta*)  
Smartweed (*Polygonum persicaria*)  
Sweet vernal grass (*Anthoxanthum odoratum*)  
Three-square (bulrush) (*Schoenoplectus americanus*)  
Tussock (*Juncus effusus*)  
Willow (*Salix* spp.)  
Yellow loosestrife (*Lysimachia terrestris*)

# Cranberry Pests by Crop Stage

## Dormancy to bud-break (November–April)

*Insects:* Brown soft scale

*Weeds:* Annual bluegrass, arrowgrass, blackberry, creeping bentgrass, creeping buttercup, horsetail, lotus, moss, purple aster, purple leaved willowherb, salal, sheep sorrel, silverleaf, slough sedge, smartweed, sweet vernal grass, tussock, willow, yellow loosestrife

## Shoot elongation (April–May)

*Insects:* Black-headed fireworm, black vine weevil, greedy scale, tipworm

*Diseases:* Cottonball, rose bloom, upright dieback

*Weeds:* Annual bluegrass, blackberry, Bog St. Johnswort, creeping bentgrass, creeping buttercup, lotus, purple aster, silverleaf, slough sedge, smartweed, tussock, willow, yellow loosestrife

## Bloom (May–July)

*Insects:* Black-headed fireworm, black vine weevil, cranberry fruitworm, cranberry girdler, cutworm, greedy scale, tipworm

*Diseases:* Cottonball, fruit rot, red leaf spot, rose bloom, upright dieback

*Weeds:* Annual bluegrass, blackberry, Bog St. Johnswort, creeping bentgrass, lotus, purple aster, slough sedge, smartweed, tussock, willow, yellow loosestrife

## Fruit set–fruit development (June–September)

*Insects:* Black-headed fireworm, cranberry fruitworm, cranberry girdler, cranberry root weevil, cutworm, greedy scale, tipworm

*Diseases:* *Lophodermium* twig blight, fruit rot, red leaf spot

*Weeds:* Annual bluegrass, blackberry, Bog St. Johnswort, creeping bentgrass, lotus

## Harvest (August–November)

*Insects:* Black vine weevil

*Weeds:* Large weeds removed before harvest such as lotus, willow, alder, blackberry

# Major Cranberry Pest Descriptions

## Insects and nematodes

### Black-headed fireworm (*Rhopobota naevana*)

For pest description information, see: <https://pnwhandbooks.org/insect/small-fruit/cranberry/cranberry-blackheaded-fireworm>

First-brood larvae web and feed on new tip growth in late April or early May. Second-brood larvae web runner ends and damage berries and fruit buds for next year's crop. With severe injury, vine tips look brown as if scorched by fire, and berries shrivel. A third generation of moths may emerge in late summer. Moths of the second and third broods lay overwintering eggs. Control of the first larval hatch helps reduce likelihood of large subsequent hatches.

### Cranberry root-weevils

Black vine weevil (*Otiorhynchus sulcatus*)

Strawberry root weevil (*O. ovatus*)

For pest description information, see: <https://pnwhandbooks.org/insect/small-fruit/cranberry/cranberry-root-weevil>

Adults are present in cranberry beds along coastal areas during most of the year, but late May to late June is when pest numbers grow. They begin egg laying in mid-June to early July. Larvae feed on plant roots. Damage from larval feeding is most apparent just before and during bloom. Edges of the cranberry bed and drier areas are most susceptible to weevil injury.

### Cranberry fruitworm (*Acrobasis vaccinii*)

For pest description information, see: <https://pnwhandbooks.org/insect/small-fruit/cranberry/cranberry-cranberry-fruitworm>

This pest overwinters as larvae. Moth emergence occurs during late June to early July. Eggs are laid on the fruit, and larvae enter the fruit immediately upon hatch. Each larva may consume five to six fruits during development.

### Cranberry girdler (*Chrysoteuchia topiaria*)

For pest description information, see: <https://pnwhandbooks.org/insect/small-fruit/cranberry/cranberry-cranberry-girdler>

Adult moths appear in May, June, and July. They feed on stems and runners, which can kill all or part of the plant. In Oregon, damage from larval feeding is first observed in late August and September. If beds are weedy, especially grassy, girdlers will be able to establish themselves before they move to cranberries. Newly hatched larvae burrow into the crowns of grass plants and feed.

### Cranberry tipworm (*Dasineura oxycoccana*)

For pest description information, see: <https://pnwhandbooks.org/insect/small-fruit/cranberry/cranberry-cranberry-tipworm>

Tipworm larvae feed on flower buds and shoot tips, causing distorted growth. Infestation from the first generation does little crop damage, but subsequent generations can prevent the formation of mixed terminal buds required for next year's crop. Tipworm is not noted to be a serious pest in southern Oregon beds, but numerous Washington and northwest Oregon beds have suffered substantial crop loss.

## Cutworms

Numerous species

For pest description information, see: <https://pnwhandbooks.org/insect/small-fruit/cranberry/cranberry-cutworm>

There are a number of moth species with larvae (cutworms) that have a wide range of colors, markings, and patterns. The foliage-feeding larvae generally feed at night, and clip off the tips of uprights and runners.

## Scale insects

Brown soft scale (*Coccus hesperidum*)

Greedy scale (*Hemiberlesia rapax*)

For pest description information, see: <https://pnwhandbooks.org/insect/small-fruit/cranberry/cranberry-scale>

Scale are sucking insects that infest vines and leaves of cranberry plants causing stunted, delayed vine growth or dead patches in beds. Scale presence can cause reduced fruit set on infested uprights.

## Diseases and Pathogens

### Cottonball (*Monilinia oxycocci*)

For disease description, see: <https://pnwhandbooks.org/plantdisease/host-disease/cranberry-vaccinium-macrocarpon-cottonball>

This disease is caused by *Monilinia oxycocci*, a fungus that overwinters in mummified berries from the previous season. Spores spread from these berries in the spring. Released spores infect new shoot growth in early spring, causing tip blight. In the tip-blight stage of the disease, young tips of new upright growth turn brown, curl over, and wilt. Affected berries remain yellowish-tan rather than coloring normally, or in some cases, turn brown and shrivel before sizing up. Late in the season, fruits shrivel, harden and darken, and eventually mummify.

### Fruit rot

For disease description, see: <https://pnwhandbooks.org/plantdisease/host-disease/cranberry-vaccinium-macrocarpon-fruit-rots>

Fruit rot can be caused by several fungi, including *Phomopsis vaccinii*, viscid rot; *Botrytis* spp., yellow rot; *Allantophomopsis cytispora* and *A. lycopodina*, black rot; *Gomerella cingulata* (asexual *Colletotrichum acutatum*), bitter rot; *Coleophoma empetri*, ripe rot; *Botryosphaeria vaccinii*, berry speckle; and *Physalospora vaccinii*, blotch rot.

Important genera in Oregon and Washington cranberry fruit rots are *Allantophomopsis*, *Coleophoma*, *Colletotrichum*, *Physalospora*, and *Fusicoccum*.

Fungi that cause fruit rots are in the beds and can be troublesome, especially when rainy conditions persist during bloom. The two types of rot are field rots that develop before harvest, and postharvest rots that form after harvest in fresh fruit in refrigerated storage. Field rots have not been economically important in well-managed beds, but levels are on the increase. Postharvest rots are important only for fresh fruit. Fruit to be processed is frozen immediately after harvest, so postharvest rot is not a problem. Control of fruit rots in the field near fruit set reduces decay when fresh berries are held in refrigerated storage.

### Phytophthora root rot

Although three *Phytophthora* spp. have been found in Oregon and Washington beds, *P. cinnamomi* is the most pathogenic.

For disease description, see: <https://pnwhandbooks.org/plantdisease/host-disease/cranberry-vaccinium-macrocarpon-phytophthora-root-runner-rot> and Polashock et al., 2017. *Compendium of Blueberry, Cranberry, and Lingonberry Diseases and Pests*.

These microorganisms have spores that swim to healthy plants, enter them, and destroy roots and runners under flooded conditions. Most beds with root rot are wet picked, but root rot in dry-picked beds can occur in areas with poor drainage. Dead spots in the bed occur first in poorly drained areas and continue to expand to healthy areas. Lower (underground) runners have a red to olive-brown discoloration and lack feeder roots. Newly planted vines also die.

### **Red leaf spot (*Exobasidium rostrupii*)**

For disease description, see: <https://pnwhandbooks.org/plantdisease/host-disease/cranberry-vaccinium-macrocarpon-red-leaf-spot>

Symptoms of this disease may appear during rainy, misty, cloudy weather beginning in midsummer on the new growth. If severe, terminal growth of the uprights and runners dies due to a secondary pathogen, such as black spot fungus (*Mycosphaerella nigromaculans*), and the subsequent crop is reduced.

### **Rose bloom (*Exobasidium vaccinii*)**

For disease description, see: <https://pnwhandbooks.org/plantdisease/host-disease/cranberry-vaccinium-macrocarpon-rose-bloom>

This disease has a 1-year life cycle; infections in one spring do not develop symptoms until the following spring. The fungus normally attacks only the axillary buds, causing them to produce abnormal branches with thickened, hypertrophied, rose-colored leaves that resemble miniature roses—hence the name. The fungus occasionally attacks terminal buds and blossoms. Infected blossoms are deformed and usually enlarged. Affected berries are deformed. Yield on infected fruiting uprights can be reduced by a third.

### **Twig blight (*Lophodermium oxycocci*)**

For disease description, see: <https://pnwhandbooks.org/plantdisease/host-disease/cranberry-vaccinium-macrocarpon-twig-blight>.

Fungi overwinter as mycelium in last season's leaves and are dispersed by wind. New growth can be infected between late June and mid-August. This disease can slow the establishment of new beds, and may be severe the year after planting. Yield on infected upright shoots is lowered by one-third, and the following year's crop is also impacted as infected uprights are less likely to set a mixed bud for the next crop year.

### **Upright dieback (*Diaporthe vaccinii*)**

For disease description, see: <https://pnwhandbooks.org/plantdisease/host-disease/cranberry-vaccinium-macrocarpon-upright-dieback>

Current-year, spring growth is the most susceptible growth stage, although plants can be infected throughout the season if wounded. Infected uprights generally die before bloom. Diseased and healthy uprights may be on the same runner. Vegetative and fruiting uprights are both affected. As many as 25 percent of the uprights may be affected in certain beds.



## Weeds

Overall, cranberry crop losses due to weeds are estimated to be 25 percent, and are among the highest of any agricultural commodity. However, for certain weed species, losses can be 100 percent. The most problematic weeds for cranberry crop loss are herbaceous perennials, such as lotus, sheep sorrel, bentgrass, yellow loose strife, and marsh arrowgrass, which have high fecundity and are difficult to control with registered herbicides. Many cranberry weeds are hard-to-control wetland and upland weeds.

Common cranberry weeds include:

- Annual bluegrass (*Poa annua*)
- Arrowgrass (*Triglochin paulstris*)
- Blackberry (*Rubus armeniacus*, *R. ursinus*)
- Bog St. Johnswort (*Hypericum anagalloides*)
- Creeping bentgrass (*Agrostis stolonifera*)
- Creeping buttercup (*Ranunculus repens*)
- Horsetail (*Equisetum arvense*)
- Lotus (*Lotus corniculatus*)
- Moss
- Nutsedge (*Cyperus* spp.)
- Purple aster (*Aster subspicatus*)
- Purple leaved willowherb (*Epilobium ciliatum*)
- Salal (*Gaultheria shallon*)
- Sheep sorrel (sour dock) (*Rumex acetosella*)
- Silverleaf (*Potentilla pacifica*)
- Slough sedge (cutgrass) (*Carex obnupta*)
- Smartweed (*Polygonum persicaria*)
- Sweet vernal grass (*Anthoxanthum odoratum*)
- Three-square (bulrush) (*Schoenoplectus americanus*)
- Tussock (*Juncus effusus*)
- Willow (*Salix* spp.)
- Yellow loosestrife (*Lysimachia terrestris*)

Successful weed management in cranberries requires a comprehensive, year-round approach that alternates a combination of weed control practices over several years. Developing these strategies requires knowledge of each weed and weed control practice. A combination of products alternated with each other and with other weed control practices is necessary to reduce the chance of developing resistant species or biotypes. Removing weeds (especially perennial weeds) and seed heads by hand is often necessary. Specific weed challenges are discussed in more detail in the crop stage sections.

## Vertebrate Pests

Several vertebrate pests can be problematic in cranberry. Voles (*Microtus* spp.) create trails, or runs, that are used so often that they become visible in the bed. They sever cranberry uprights at the base, creating pockets of dead vines. Vole control is a constant issue, as it is in other cropping systems. Growers can use bait stations placed outside the cranberry beds. Cultural control methods that serve to reduce potential habitat around the beds, such as mowing dikes and removing idle irrigation pipe, can be helpful. Many growers create raptor perches and other nest structures to encourage raptor presence as means of control.

Deer and elk are also a constant pressure in cranberry beds. Some farms have the financial means and the physical layout that enables them to build deer- and elk-resistant fencing. Others do not have that capability. Elk, given their size, can be very damaging to beds, irrigation systems, and even fencing.

Bears are another occasional vertebrate pest and can forage on ripe berries and cause damage from digging in beds. Bears can also cause damage to hive boxes, which can lead to additional expense for growers having to protect hives with electric and conventional fencing systems.

# **Cranberry Pest Management by Crop Stage**

# Dormancy to bud-break (November–April)

Cranberry vines are dormant after harvest in the fall. Major management activities during this timeframe include removal of field debris from harvest (leaves, stems, diseased fruit, etc.); pruning (removal of excessive vegetative growth); and sanding (adding a thin layer of sand on top of vines to promote rooting and bury disease inoculum).

Pruning is usually done annually, while sanding is done more infrequently (every 4 to 8 years). Other farm maintenance done during this time includes improvement and repairs of irrigation and drainage systems, dikes and roads, and equipment.

Pest management priorities during this stage include control of brown soft scale; weed control (broadleaves and grasses), which includes pulling of perennial weeds; and application of preemergence herbicides.

As spring approaches, buds swell and begin to lose their cold tolerance, and frost protection becomes crucial for growers. This is done entirely through sprinkler irrigation.

Pesticides in Pacific Northwest cranberry beds are most often applied through irrigation systems (chemigation), not boom sprayers. Granular herbicides are applied with air spreaders, drop spreaders, or “belly grinders.”

## Field activities and pest management decisions that occur during dormancy to bud-break

- Sanding
- Pruning
- Sanitation
- Algae, liverwort, moss control—early fungicide application
- Drainage improvement
- Tree removal if shade is an issue on bed
- Frost control
- Preemergent herbicides (for horsetail and other weeds)
- Scout for scale
- Management for brown soft scale

| <b>PAMS<sup>1</sup> practice</b> | <b>Dormancy to bud-break pest management activities</b>   | <b>Target pest(s)</b>   |
|----------------------------------|---|---|
| <b>Prevention</b>                | Sand application  | Cranberry girdler, weevil, weeds, moss                                  |
|                                  | Prune   | Fruit rot, twig blight  |
|                                  | Drainage, maintenance, and improvement  | Weeds, fruit/root rot   |
|                                  | Sanitation: remove harvest debris   | Disease control   |
| <b>Avoidance</b>                 | Fence fields  | Ungulate and goose management   |
| <b>Monitoring</b>                | Scout fields  | Brown soft scale, greedy scale, voles, moss, fireworm eggs, twig blight |
|                                  | Bait stations set on dikes near beds  | Voles   |
|                                  | Weed maps   | Weeds   |
| <b>Suppression</b>               | Resand beds for cranberry girdler control   | Cranberry girdler   |
|                                  | Apply iron/copper   | Mosses  |
|                                  | Flooding  | Weeds, insects: scale, weevil, fruit rot, twig blight                   |
|                                  | Set up raptor perches and other bird or bat boxes   | Rodent control, insect control  |
|                                  | Herbicide applications: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ 2,4D (in WA; Weedar 64 in OR; granular only; used with Section 24c labels in OR and WA for wiping trees and brush and winter biennial control)</li> <li>▪ Clethodim (Select) (for grass weeds only)</li> <li>▪ Clopyralid (Stinger)</li> <li>▪ Copper or iron (for moss)</li> <li>▪ Dichlobenil (Casoron)</li> <li>▪ Glyphosate (Roundup)</li> <li>▪ Napropramide (Devrinol)</li> <li>▪ Norflurazon (Evital)</li> <li>▪ Quinclorac (Quinstar)</li> <li>▪ Sethoxydim (Poast; Volunteer in WA) for grass weeds only</li> </ul> | Weeds   |
|                                  | Insecticidal soap (M-pede)  | Brown soft scale  |
|                                  | Disease suppression applications:<br>Lime sulfur<br>Copper sulfate  | Disease spore suppression   |
|                                  | Hazing or hunting with approval   | Ungulate and goose management   |

<sup>1</sup> See appendix "Using PAMS Terminology," page 48.

## Critical needs for dormancy to bud-break pest management

### Research topics

- Effective rodent management options including chemical options.
- Economic and treatment thresholds for major pests, including economic thresholds for weed control, drainage, etc.
- Efficacy of dormant-season treatments for greedy scale.
- Efficacy of using pyriproxyfen (Esteem) for greedy scale control.

### Regulatory actions

- Maintain continued support and communication to resolve issues with maximum residue limits (MRLs) in export countries (for quinclorac and other products).

### Education

- Clarify for growers the currently registered rodent management options.
- Educate growers on pruning frequency and intensity for best management.
- Educate and clarify to growers regarding the use of pyriproxyfen (Knack) for cranberry pests under supplemental label.



Photo: Lynn Ketchum, © Oregon State University

Cranberry bushes prior to harvesting.

# Shoot elongation (April–May)

Shoot elongation is the most critical time of the year for frost protection, as new growth is extremely sensitive to temperatures less than 32 degrees Fahrenheit. Frost protection can be necessary all night for many successive nights, which can make beds excessively wet. As soils warm, weed growth and insect emergence begin to be noted.

Early postemergent weed control is common during this period. Black-headed fireworm, tipworm, black vine weevil, and scale all require management during this time period. Some foliar diseases, including rose bloom and cottonball, would need to be treated if the outbreak is severe. Twig blight can also be a problem at this time, but there is no known treatment for this timing.

Growers are also applying their first applications of fertilizer during this period, a blend of nitrogen, phosphorous, and potassium. Granular fertilizers are applied with air or throw spreaders and belly grinders; foliar fertilizers are applied via irrigation systems.

## Field activities and pest management decisions that may occur during shoot elongation

- Weed scouting
- Postemergent herbicide applications
- Scouting for black vine weevil
- Scouting for twig blight infestations
- Cottonball preventative fungicide application, if present previously
- Scouting for rose bloom, treatment if necessary
- Adjust frost protection for growth stage
- Fireworm monitoring and treatment
- Tipworm monitoring and treatment
- Fertilization decisions based on soil and tissue tests, to add nutrients for upright initiation in new beds
- Mowing dikes and spraying ditches
- Maintaining irrigation and frost-control systems

| <b>PAMS practice</b> | <b>Shoot elongation pest management activities</b>  | <b>Target pest(s)</b>          |
|----------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| <b>Prevention</b>    | Drainage after frost control (irrigating for frost control limits capacity to apply pesticides)   | Disease control                |
| <b>Avoidance</b>     | Cover new beds with vines; aided with nutrient management   | Weed suppression               |
| <b>Monitoring</b>    | Monitor fields  | Fireworm larvae, tipworm       |
|                      | Pheromone traps   | Fireworm, girdler              |
|                      | Sample for adult root weevil larvae   | Root weevil                    |
|                      | Scout fields  | Black vine weevil, twig blight |
|                      | Place sticky traps for monitoring and suppression   | Tipworm                        |
| <b>Suppression</b>   | Flood in the spring prior to egg hatch  | Fireworm larvae                |
|                      | Mow dikes and spraying ditches  | Weed control                   |
|                      | Herbicides:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Chlorimuron ethyl (Curio)</li> <li>▪ Mesotrione (Callisto)</li> <li>▪ Quinclorac (Quinstar)</li> </ul> Grass herbicides:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Clethodim (Select)</li> <li>▪ Glyphosate (Roundup) [hand wiping]</li> <li>▪ Sethoxydim (Poast; Volunteer in WA)</li> </ul>   | Weeds                          |
|                      | Insecticides:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Acephate (Orthene)</li> <li>▪ Carbaryl (Sevin) for tipworm, fireworm</li> <li>▪ Chlorantraniliprole (Altacor) for fireworm</li> <li>▪ Chlorpyrifos (Lorsban)</li> <li>▪ Diazinon</li> <li>▪ Horticultural oils</li> <li>▪ Indoxacarb (Avaunt)</li> <li>▪ Insecticidal soaps (M-pede)</li> <li>▪ Methoxyfenozide (Intrepid) for fireworm</li> <li>▪ Spinetoram (Delegate)</li> </ul> | Insects                        |
|                      | Copper application (Nucop, Kocide) for disease control  | Rose bloom and other diseases  |
|                      |   |                                |

## Critical needs for shoot elongation pest management

### Research topics

- Pest biology and life cycle of cranberry tipworm.
- Effective controls for cranberry tipworm.
- Best management practices for cranberry tipworm.
- Effective products for black-headed fireworm control, especially relative to bloom timing, and also for organic growers.
- Area-wide monitoring and mapping program for black-headed fireworm.
- Black-headed fireworm to determine level of correlation between pheromone trap counts and economic damage.
- Phenology model for black-headed fireworm.
- Management thresholds for black-headed fireworm.
- Best management timing for greedy scale.
- Effective products for greedy scale control, especially alternatives to diazinon.
- Economic impacts for certain cranberry pests, such as greedy scale and tipworm. How big of an economic impact, and what damage do these pests cause?
- Effective management strategies for cranberry girdler.
- Determine if translaminar materials (such as azoxystrobin) are efficacious this time of year against twig blight (*Lophodermium*).
- Effective alternatives to quinclorac (Quinstar) for weed management.
- Effective organic-approved products for weed control.
- Program development for weed resistance monitoring.
- Effective alternatives to glyphosate (Roundup).
- Effective controls for black vine weevil (chemical and nonchemical), as current chemical controls have not offered lasting control.
- Protection plan for native pollinators during early-, middle-, and late-season pesticide applications

### Regulatory actions

- Resolve maximum residue limit (MRL) issues related to pesticides considered critical (like quinclorac and chlorothalonil).
- Register additional horticultural oils for use in cranberries (potentially through manufacturer label change).

### Education

- Educate growers on pest biology, life cycle, and best management practices for cranberry tipworm, once researched.
- Educate growers on the importance of scouting and monitoring for black-headed fireworm.
- Educate growers on best management timing for greedy scale.
- Educate the community and landowners regarding the impacts of uncontrolled habitat (like abandoned beds) on black-headed fireworm population levels.
- Increase awareness, management, and enhancement of native bee and pollinator populations.
- Increase awareness and management of beneficial insects.



# Bloom (May–July)

Depending on variety, cranberries can begin blooming as early as May, and some can still be in bloom as late as July.

Continued frost protection is critical during this stage, as is pollination management and ongoing fertilization. Anywhere from one to four colonies of bees per acre are used for a 4- to 6-week period during bloom. Insecticide selection and use during this time period requires consideration of on-farm pollinator presence.

Pest management priorities during this stage include management of black-headed fireworm, tipworm, black vine weevil, cranberry fruitworm, cranberry girdler, cutworm, greedy scale, cottonball, fruit rot, red leaf spot, rose bloom, upright dieback, and weeds. Scouting and pheromone trapping for fireworm and cranberry girdler are important. Some fungicides are applied during bloom to prevent fruit rot.

## **Field activities and pest management decisions that may occur during bloom**

- Place hives for pollination
- Pollinator management and treatment timing
- Pheromone traps for fireworm and girdler/monitoring
- Fireworm control
- Black vine weevil monitoring
- Fungicide treatments: fruit rot, twig blight, rose bloom
- Frost protection (very important at this stage)
- Fertilizer management
- Drainage and irrigation management
- Dike and ditch management—mowing, flailing, improving drainage, spraying ditches
- Rodent control (raptor poles)

| <b>PAMS practice</b> | <b>Bloom pest management activities</b>   | <b>Target pest(s)</b>                  |
|----------------------|---|--|
| <b>Prevention</b>    | Manage dikes  | Weeds, insects, voles                  |
|                      | Use a high uniformity irrigation system for chemigation and frost protection  | Impacts disease management             |
|                      | Manage vegetation and airflow, especially around field edges  | Disease prevention                     |
| <b>Avoidance</b>     | Avoid excess nitrogen to limit overgrowth   | Fruit rot, tipworm,                    |
|                      | Optimize fertilizer timing depending on vines, soil type, end market (fresh vs. processed, etc.)  | All pests                              |
|                      | Manage irrigation timing (best in morning, to reduce leaf wetness overnight)  | Disease control                        |
|                      | Fence fields  | Ungulate and geese management          |
| <b>Monitoring</b>    | Sample for adult emergence  | Black vine weevil                      |
|                      | Set pheromone traps   | Cranberry fruitworm, girdler, fireworm |
|                      | Monitor through visual inspection, sticky traps, counting   | Tipworm, fireworm                      |
|                      | Monitor fields  | Bears (for bee protection)             |
| <b>Suppression</b>   | Insecticides: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Bt (Dipel) [Not used/low efficacy]</li> <li>▪ Chlorantraniliprole (Altacor)</li> <li>▪ Indoxacarb (Avaunt) for weevil emergence mid to late bloom</li> <li>▪ Methoxyfenozine (Intrepid)</li> <li>▪ Pyrethrins for organic growers</li> <li>▪ Spinosad (Entrust) for organic growers</li> </ul> Note: Cranberry fruitworm control is best achieved with products with long residual | Insects                                |
|                      | Fungicides: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Azoxystrobin (Abound)</li> <li>▪ Chlorothalonil (Bravo)</li> <li>▪ Copper (Nucop, Kocide)</li> <li>▪ Fenbuconazole (Indar)</li> <li>▪ Ferbam for rose bloom control; used as late as first bloom; can also be used for fairy ring disease</li> <li>▪ Mancozeb (Dithane F45, Manzate)</li> <li>▪ Prothioconazole (Proline)</li> </ul>   | Diseases                               |
|                      | Herbicides (mainly spot treatment or hand spraying of grass herbicides): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Chlorimuron ethyl (Curio)</li> <li>▪ Clethodim (Select)</li> <li>▪ Glyphosate (Roundup) (applied via wiper applicator)</li> <li>▪ Mesotrione (Callisto)</li> <li>▪ Sethoxydim (Poast/Volunteer)</li> </ul>  | Weeds                                  |
|                      | Haze and hunt with approval   | Ungulate and geese management          |
|                      | Use cages or electric fencing, platforms, hardware cloth around hives to protect bees   | Bears                                  |

## Critical needs for bloom pest management

### Research topics

- Effective management strategies for twig blight, including organic approved products.
- Area-wide monitoring, data sharing and communication program for twig blight, as well as other insect and disease pests. Anonymous alerts could be sent with trap-count data, etc.
- Critical timing for application of broad-spectrum products for effective scale and fireworm control that is balanced with judicious use to protect pollinators and beneficials.
- Fireworm management that also takes into account needs for greedy scale.
- Support programs for transitioning away from cancelled products and also from broad-spectrum to more selective products to reduce exacerbation of pest impacts.
- Beneficial insect occurrence and timing.
- Affordable technologies to support automated irrigation systems, such as wireless sensors to detect cold spots.
- Duration of frost management and frost-management cycling and best timing to reduce impacts on pest management.
- Understanding the mechanism for frost protection using sprinkling (microclimatology for coastal cranberry industry).
- Various classes of fungicide to support pollinator protection and resistance management.

### Regulatory actions

- Communicate with regulatory agencies about potential pest management challenges if insecticides and fungicides become regulated during bloom.
- Develop a pollinator management plan that provides protection during bloom and also accomplishes needed pest management during this stage.
- Continued industry engagement on maximum residue limit (MRL) issues: support for industry members working on these issues, and continued funding and research.
- Currently, Indoxacarb (Avaunt) for conventional growers, and Spinosad (Entrust) for organic growers, are the only products available for use during bloom for weevil control. If regulated out of use during bloom, no alternatives are available. These products are used for emerging weevil control, and applied at night for mitigation to pollinators.

### Education

- Educate growers on the importance of monitoring for twig blight to aid in pesticide application decision-making.
- Communicate to growers about better management strategies for derelict beds.
- Educate growers on available decision-support tools (including leaf wetness model, evapotranspiration monitoring for irrigation scheduling, and value of various sensor systems).
- Educate growers on resistance management for all classes: fungicides, insecticides, and herbicides.
- Educate growers on best management practices for pollinator protection.
- Educate growers on proper use and timing of commonly used products; encourage use of new, safer products; provide education in a form that makes it possible for growers to consider new and different options.

# Fruit set–development (June–September)

During this stage, managed pollinators are removed from beds. Once fruit set has occurred, growers need to minimize foot traffic on the beds.

Pest management priorities during this stage include management for black-headed fireworm, cranberry fruitworm, cranberry girdler, cranberry root weevil, cutworm, tipworm, greedy scale, fruit rot, twig blight, red leaf spot, and weeds.

Scouting for cranberry fruitworm, and proper timing of insecticide applications to control this pest, are critical during this stage. Fungicide controls for twig blight are critical at this timing if this pest is present. Control of tall perennial weeds extending above the canopy with glyphosate using a wiper applicator is common during this stage.

Irrigation management and maintenance of soil moisture is critical, and fertilization continues through this stage.

## **Field activities and pest management decisions that may occur during fruit set-development**

- Irrigation, heat control
- Tissue and soil sampling
- Weed mapping
- Pesticide Use Reports (PURs) for contractual obligations
- Fertilization
- Continued maintenance of dikes, borders, etc.
- Ungulate management
- Insect and disease control
- Frost control if needed late in this stage
- Monitoring bud set for fertilization decisions

| <b>PAMS practice</b> | <b>Fruit set—development pest management activities</b>  | <b>Target pest(s)</b>             |
|----------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| <b>Prevention</b>    | Sanitize equipment (fertilizer spreaders) to avoid spread of pests   | Insects, diseases, and weeds      |
|                      | Use heat control   | Rot prevention                    |
|                      | Manage dikes and adjacent habitat if possible to avoid windblown seed  | Weed/disease                      |
| <b>Avoidance</b>     | Avoid excess fertilization by monitoring bud set and plant condition   | All pests                         |
|                      | Grass control  | Weevil, girdler infestation       |
|                      | Irrigation control—avoid over- and under-irrigation  | Weeds, diseases                   |
| <b>Monitoring</b>    | Monitor fields   | Fireworm, deer, elk, geese, bears |
|                      | Monitor heat on the fields   |                                   |
|                      | Monitor water and irrigation of the fields   |                                   |
| <b>Suppression</b>   | Create short floods (1 to 2 days)  | Cranberry girdler                 |
|                      | Insecticides: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Acephate (Orthene) [if meets preharvest intervals, but MRL issues limit use at this stage]</li> <li>▪ Acetamiprid (Assail) (not used due to pollinator and maximum residue limit [MRL] issues)</li> <li>▪ Chlorantraniliprole (Altacor)</li> <li>▪ Chlorpyrifos (Lorsban) [if meets preharvest intervals, but MRL issues limit use at this stage]</li> <li>▪ Diazinon</li> <li>▪ Indoxacarb (Avaunt)</li> <li>▪ Methoxyfenozide (Intrepid)</li> <li>▪ Pyrethrins</li> </ul> | Insects                           |
|                      | Beneficial nematodes   | Weevil, girdler                   |
|                      | Herbicides: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Chlorimuron ethyl (Curio)</li> <li>▪ Clethodim (Select)</li> <li>▪ Glyphosate (Roundup) (hand wiping)</li> <li>▪ Mesotrione (Callisto)</li> <li>▪ Quinclorac (Quinstar)</li> <li>▪ Sethoxydim (Poast/Volunteer)</li> </ul>  | Weeds                             |
|                      | Fungicides: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Chlorothalonil (Bravo)</li> <li>▪ Copper hydroxide + mancozeb (Dithane F45, Manzate)</li> </ul>   | Diseases                          |
|                      | Seed head removal  | Weeds                             |
|                      | Hand weed young trees (willows, alders)  |                                   |

## Critical needs for fruit set—development pest management

### Research topics

- Effective management options for fireworm during the fruit set and development stage to prevent and treat late outbreaks, which can be problematic during this timeframe.
- Optimal timing for irrigation management and frost protection.
- Alternative chemistries and best management practices for cranberry fruitworm, including prediction and treatment regimes that include early monitoring; this pest is particularly problematic if pressures arise at this stage.

### Regulatory actions

- Continued industry engagement on MRL issues: support for industry members working on these issues, and continued funding and research.
- Communication and engagement with regulatory agencies impacting the availability of water from the stage of fruit set through harvest.
- Communication and engagement with regulatory agencies about the importance of acquiring game management permits during fruit set, as this is a critical timing for vertebrate pest management.

### Education

- None that are specific to this stage at this time.

# Harvest (August–November)

Some varieties of cranberry can be ready for harvest as early as August, and some as late as November. Early ripening and rot-prone varieties need to be picked first to avoid issues with rot. During this stage, equipment used for cleaning, sorting, and hauling is readied for harvest. Tall weeds that can make harvest difficult are removed. For dry harvesting, harvest is coordinated with packer and shipper demands. For wet harvesting, beds are flooded and then fruit is removed.

Sprinkler heads are typically removed prior to harvest and must be reinstalled afterward. Growers may also hold harvest water to assist with pest control and allow harvest debris (vines, leaves removed by harvester) to float to the edge of the bed for removal.

Irrigation scheduling remains important just prior to harvest, and after harvest for early harvested beds.

## Field activities and pest management decisions that may occur during harvest

- Irrigation management
- Sprinkler head removal
- Cleaning harvest equipment
- Flooding
- Beating
- Harvest



Photo: Lynn Ketchum, © Oregon State University

Some cranberries are ready for harvest as early as August while others are picked as late as November.

| PAMS practice      | Harvest pest management activities  | Target pest(s) |
|--------------------|---|----------------|
| <b>Prevention</b>  | Use rotation cycles of early flooding at harvest  | Insects        |
|                    | Clean harvest equipment   | Weeds          |
|                    | Remove harvest debris   | All pests      |
| <b>Avoidance</b>   | Select harvested fields and use care with flood waters to avoid transfer of seed-infested water between beds  | Weeds          |
|                    | Sequence harvest with early preference to rot-prone varieties and new beds first  | Rot            |
| <b>Monitoring</b>  | None at this time   |                |
| <b>Suppression</b> | Trim handheld string  | Weeds          |
|                    | Flood the field for 2 to 3 weeks immediately after harvest to kill root weevil larvae   | Root weevil    |
|                    | Postemergent weed control immediately after harvest and before dormancy: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ 2,4-D (Weedar)</li> <li>▪ Clethodim (Select)</li> <li>▪ Glyphosate (Roundup) (hand wiping)</li> <li>▪ Sethoxydim (Poast/Volunteer)</li> </ul> | Weeds          |
|                    | Selective weed by hand (e.g., lotus)  | Weeds          |

## Critical needs for harvest pest management

### Research topics

- Best harvesting and handling equipment with respect to fruit damage and subsequent impact on fruit quality and storage rot. This is particularly important for dry-harvested beds that are used to produce fruit for the fresh market.
- Optimal duration of flooding, including float time and impacts to fruit quality, temperature considerations, etc.
- Best management of aquatic weeds in irrigation ponds.
- Potential for transfer of chemical residues through fruit cleaning, improper fruit separation, chemigation equipment, or shared floodwaters at harvest. (For example, quinclorac might be used in some beds but not others, but if harvest floodwater is shared between beds, does that transfer residues to nontreated fruit?)

### Regulatory actions

- Continued industry engagement on maximum residue limit (MRL) issues: support for industry members working on these issues, and continued funding and research.
- Work to avoid labor shortage at harvest, which impacts growers' ability to achieve full value for the crop.

### Education

- None specific to this growth stage, at this time.

### Other

- Lack of consultants for this crop in this region limits response times for, and impacts on, pest management and the ability to learn about and adopt new practices.
- Because of the geographic isolation of the industry, certification can become a cost burden in the absence of access to support for the administrative processes associated with it.



# Invasive and Emerging Pests

## Insects

None identified at this time.

## Diseases

*Blueberry shock virus* was first detected in Wisconsin beds in 2014, and has since been identified in Oregon, as well as in Massachusetts, New Jersey, and British Columbia. Fruit scarring is the usual symptom that is observed, although it remains unknown as to whether infected vines always exhibit this symptom. Little is known about the disease cycle, mode of transmission, or persistence of symptoms. Research is ongoing.

*Tobacco streak virus* (TSV) is another potential concern, having been identified in beds in Wisconsin, Massachusetts, and New Jersey. It has not been observed in beds in Oregon or Washington yet, but Pacific Northwest growers often purchase vines from Wisconsin, which increases the risk of TSV occurring here. TSV symptoms include fruit scarring; nearly all the fruit on a plant will exhibit symptoms. TSV is known to infect more than 80 different plant species. One mode of transmission is believed to be via pollinators; however, there is still much to learn. To minimize the risk of exposure to TSV in Pacific Northwest beds, plants used for propagation and breeding should be tested for TSV.

## Weeds

None identified at this time.

## Critical needs for invasives and emerging pests

None identified at this time.

# References

2018 Cranberry Pest Management Guide [http://cru.cahe.wsu.edu/CEPublications/eb0845e/EB0845E\\_18.pdf](http://cru.cahe.wsu.edu/CEPublications/eb0845e/EB0845E_18.pdf)

Eck, P. 1990. The American Cranberry. Rutgers University Press.

Jepson et al. 2014. Measuring pesticide ecological and health risks in West African agriculture to establish an enabling environment for sustainable intensification. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 369(1639). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0491>

*Pacific Northwest Weed Management Handbook*. 2017. Oregon State University, Washington State University, and the University of Idaho. <https://pnwhandbooks.org/weed>

*Pacific Northwest Plant Disease Management Handbook*. 2017. Oregon State University, Washington State University, and the University of Idaho. <https://pnwhandbooks.org/plantdisease>

*Pacific Northwest Insect Management Handbook*. 2017. Oregon State University, Washington State University, and the University of Idaho. <https://pnwhandbooks.org/insect>

Polashock et al., 2017. Compendium of Blueberry, Cranberry, and Lingonberry Diseases and Pests, American Phytopathological Society, Second Edition.

Sustainable Agriculture Network. 2017. Lists for Pesticide Management. [https://www.rainforest-alliance.org/business/sas/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/02\\_lists-pesticides-management\\_en.pdf](https://www.rainforest-alliance.org/business/sas/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/02_lists-pesticides-management_en.pdf)

# Appendix

# Activity Tables for Cranberries in OR and WA

## Field Activities (other than pest management)

| Activity  | J | F | M | A | M | J | J | A | S | O | N | D |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Drainage  | X | X | X | X | X |   |   |   |   |   | X | X |
| Fertilization                                       |   |   |   |   | X | X | X | X |   |   |   |   |
| Flooding (for harvest)                              |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | X | X | X |   |
| Frost control                                       |   |   | X | X | X | X |   |   | X | X |   |   |
| Harvest   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | X | X | X |   |
| Irrigation  |   |   |   |   | X | X | X | X | X |   |   |   |
| Maintenance of irrigation and frost control systems | X | X | X | X |   |   |   |   |   |   | X | X |
| Pruning   | X | X | X |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | X | X |
| Sanding   | X | X | X |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | X | X |

## Pest Management Activities

| Activity                             | J | F | M | A | M | J | J | A | S | O | N | D |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Fungicide applications               |   |   |   |   | X | X | X | X |   |   |   |   |
| Hand weeding*                        | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |   |   | X | X |
| Herbicide postemergent applications* | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |   |   | X | X |
| Herbicide preemergent applications   |   | X | X | X | X |   |   |   |   |   | X |   |
| Insecticide applications             |   |   |   |   | X | X | X | X |   |   |   |   |
| Mowing                               |   |   | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |   |
| Scouting/monitoring                  |   |   |   | X | X | X | X | X | X |   |   |   |

\*Notes:

- Hand weeding and spot treating for weeds can take place most of the year.
- An activity may occur at any time during the designated time period but generally not continually during that time period.

# Seasonal Pest Management for Cranberries in Oregon

| <b>Insects</b>                  | <b>J</b> | <b>F</b> | <b>M</b> | <b>A</b> | <b>M</b> | <b>J</b> | <b>J</b> | <b>A</b> | <b>S</b> | <b>O</b> | <b>N</b> | <b>D</b> |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Black vine weevil               |          |          |          |          |          |          | X        | X        |          |          |          |          |
| Black-headed fireworm           |          |          |          | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        |          |          |          |
| Cranberry girdler               |          |          |          |          |          |          | X        | X        |          |          |          |          |
| Greedy scale                    |          |          |          |          | X        | X        | X        | X        |          |          |          |          |
| Soft scale                      |          |          | X        | X        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Cutworm                         |          |          |          |          | X        | X        | X        |          |          |          |          |          |
| <b>Diseases and viruses</b>     | <b>J</b> | <b>F</b> | <b>M</b> | <b>A</b> | <b>M</b> | <b>J</b> | <b>J</b> | <b>A</b> | <b>S</b> | <b>O</b> | <b>N</b> | <b>D</b> |
| Fruit rot                       |          |          |          |          | X        | X        | X        | X        |          |          |          |          |
| <i>Lophodermium</i> twig blight |          |          |          |          |          | X        | X        | X        |          |          |          |          |
| <i>Phytophthora</i> rot         |          |          |          | X        | X        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Red leaf spot                   |          |          |          | X        | X        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Rose bloom                      |          |          |          | X        | X        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Upright dieback                 |          |          |          |          |          | X        | X        | X        |          |          |          |          |
| <b>Weeds</b>                    | <b>J</b> | <b>F</b> | <b>M</b> | <b>A</b> | <b>M</b> | <b>J</b> | <b>J</b> | <b>A</b> | <b>S</b> | <b>O</b> | <b>N</b> | <b>D</b> |
| <i>Broadleaves</i>              |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| <i>Annual</i>                   |          |          |          | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        |          |          |          |
| <i>Perennial</i>                |          |          | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        |          |          |          |
| <i>Grasses</i>                  |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| <i>Annual</i>                   |          |          | X        | X        | X        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| <i>Perennial</i>                |          |          | X        | X        | X        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| <i>Rushes</i>                   | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        |          |          | X        |
| <i>Sedges</i>                   | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        |          |          | X        |
| <i>Woody species</i>            | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        |          |          | X        |

Note:

“X” = times when pest-management strategies are applied to control these pests, not all times when pest is present.

# Seasonal Pest Management for Cranberries in Washington

| <b>Insects</b>              | <b>J</b> | <b>F</b> | <b>M</b> | <b>A</b> | <b>M</b> | <b>J</b> | <b>J</b> | <b>A</b> | <b>S</b> | <b>O</b> | <b>N</b> | <b>D</b> |
|-----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Black-headed fireworm       |          |          |          | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        |          |          |          |          |
| Black vine weevil           |          |          |          |          | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        |          |          |
| Cranberry girdler           |          |          |          |          |          | X        | X        | X        |          |          |          |          |
| Fruitworm                   |          |          |          |          |          | X        | X        |          |          |          |          |          |
| Tipworm                     |          |          |          |          | X        | X        | X        |          |          |          |          |          |
| <b>Diseases and viruses</b> | <b>J</b> | <b>F</b> | <b>M</b> | <b>A</b> | <b>M</b> | <b>J</b> | <b>J</b> | <b>A</b> | <b>S</b> | <b>O</b> | <b>N</b> | <b>D</b> |
| Fruit rot                   |          |          |          |          | X        | X        | X        |          |          |          |          |          |
| Leaf spot                   |          |          |          |          | X        | X        | X        | X        |          |          |          |          |
| Rose bloom                  |          |          |          |          | X        | X        |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Twig blight                 |          |          |          |          |          |          | X        |          |          |          |          |          |
| <b>Weeds</b>                | <b>J</b> | <b>F</b> | <b>M</b> | <b>A</b> | <b>M</b> | <b>J</b> | <b>J</b> | <b>A</b> | <b>S</b> | <b>O</b> | <b>N</b> | <b>D</b> |
| <i>Broadleaves</i>          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| <i>Annual</i>               |          |          |          | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        |          |          |          |
| <i>Perennial</i>            | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        |
| <i>Grasses</i>              |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| <i>Annual</i>               |          |          | X        | X        | X        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| <i>Perennial</i>            | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        | X        |

Note:

“X” = times when pest management strategies are applied to control these pests, not all times when pest is present.

# Cranberry Pesticide Risk Management Table

The letters below represent four categories of nontarget risk potentially affected by pesticide use. Where risks are indicated, mitigations can be applied (BMPs) to reduce risks to aquatic life, terrestrial wildlife, pollinators, and bystanders.<sup>2</sup>

- A = Risks to aquatics: invertebrates and fish
- T = Risks to terrestrial wildlife: birds and mammals
- P = Risks to pollinators: risk of hive loss
- B = Risks to bystanders: e.g., a child standing at the edge of the field
- ND = Means no data is available for this product
- = Means that risks are not anticipated for this product

| Pesticides                           | Risks requiring mitigation | Dormancy-bud-break (November-April)                           | Shoot elongation (April-May) | Bloom (May-June) | Fruit set-development (June-September) | Harvest (August-November) | Target Pest(s)            | Comments  |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|------------------------------|------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| <b>Insecticides</b>                  |                            | <b>If used, average number of applications per crop stage</b> |                              |                  |  |                           |                           |   |
| Acephate (Orthene)                   | T, P                       |   | 1                            |                  | 1                                      |                           | Fireworm, girdler         | Not commonly used in OR, go-to product in WA; only one use per year |
| Acetamiprid (Assail)                 | A                          |   | 1                            |                  |  |                           | If used, weevil, fireworm | Not used; pollinator and MRL issues                                 |
| Azadirachtin (Aza-direct, Neemix)    | —                          |   |                              |                  |  |                           |                           | Not used; not effective   |
| Bt (DiPel)                           | —                          |   |                              |                  |  |                           | fireworm                  | Used occasionally by organic; not considered effective              |
| Carbaryl (Sevin)                     | A, T, P                    |   | 2                            |                  | 1                                      |                           | Tipworm                   | Go-to product; up to five applications allowed                      |
| Chlorantraniliprole (Altacor)        | —                          |   | 1                            | 1                | 1                                      |                           | Fireworm, girdler         | Go-to product   |
| Chlorpyrifos (Lorsban)               | A, T, P, B                 |   | 1                            |                  | 1                                      |                           | Adult weevil and scale    | Occasional use for critical management only                         |
| Chromobacterium subtsugae (Grandevo) | ND                         |   |                              |                  |  |                           | Tipworm, fireworm         | Not used; not effective   |
| Clothianidin (Belay)                 | A, P                       |   |                              |                  | 1                                      |                           | Black vine weevil         | Would be go-to product, but MRL issues limit use                    |

<sup>2</sup> This analysis is based on the Oregon State University Integrated Plant Protection Center's state-of-the-science risk assessment tool ipmPRIME, a risk model that identifies moderate to high (10% or greater) risk (Jepson et al., 2014; Sustainable Agriculture Network, 2017). These data are a supplement to product labels, and do not substitute for any mitigations required on the label. For more information, see "Pesticide Risk Classification," in the appendix on page 50.

| <b>Pesticides</b>                       | <b>Risks requiring mitigation</b> | <b>Dormancy–bud-break (November–April)</b> | <b>Shoot elongation (April–May)</b> | <b>Bloom (May–June)</b> | <b>Fruit set–development (June–September)</b> | <b>Harvest (August–November)</b> | <b>Target Pest(s)</b>                          | <b>Comments</b>   |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|---|
| Diazinon                                | A, T, P, B                        |  | 1                                   |                         | 1   |                                  | Fireworm, fruitworm, greedy scale              | Go-to product   |
| Dinotefuran (Scorpion)                  | A, P                              |  |                                     |                         | 1   |                                  |  | Go-to product; but MRL issues limit use                               |
| Imidacloprid (Admire Pro)               | A, P                              |  |                                     |                         | 1   |                                  | Greedy scale                                   | Used postbloom; not used often, not effective                         |
| Indoxacarb (Avaunt)                     | P                                 |  | 1                                   | 1                       | 1   |                                  | Black vine weevil                              | Go-to product   |
| Insecticidal soap (M-Pede)              | ND                                | 1  |                                     |                         |   |                                  | Soft scale                                     | Not often used  |
| Methoxyfenozide (Intrepid)              | —                                 |  |                                     | 2                       |   |                                  | fireworm                                       | Go-to product during bloom  |
| Phosmet (Imidan 70W)                    | A, T, P                           |  | 1                                   |                         | 1   |                                  | Fireworm, fruitworm                            |   |
| Pyrethrin (Pyrenone)                    | P                                 |  | 1                                   | 1                       | 1   |                                  | fireworm                                       |   |
| Sodium fluoaluminate (Cryolite 50 Dust) | —                                 | 1  |                                     | 1                       |   |                                  | fruitworm                                      | Rarely used; not available  |
| Spinetoram (Delegate WG)                | —                                 |  | 1                                   | 1                       | 1   |                                  | fireworm                                       |   |
| Spinosad (Entrust)                      | P                                 |  | 1                                   | 1                       | 1   |                                  | fireworm                                       | Only effective insecticide for organic growers                        |
| Thiamethoxam (Actara)                   | A, P                              |  |                                     |                         | 1   |                                  | weevil   |   |
| Tebufenozide (Confirm 2F)               | —                                 |  |                                     | 1                       |   |                                  | Fireworm, fruitworm, spanworm                  | Not commonly used anymore   |
| <b>Fungicides</b>                       |                                   |  |                                     |                         |   |                                  |  |   |
| Aluminum tris (Aliette)                 | ND                                |  |                                     |                         |   |                                  | Phytophthora root rot                          | Not used  |
| Azoxystrobin (Abound)                   | A                                 |  |                                     | 2                       |   |                                  | Fruit rot, lophodermium, phytophthora root rot | Resistance management critical when used; go-to product for fruit rot |



| <b>Pesticides</b>   | <b>Risks requiring mitigation</b> | <b>Dormancy–bud-break (November–April)</b> | <b>Shoot elongation (April–May)</b> | <b>Bloom (May–June)</b> | <b>Fruit set–development (June–September)</b> | <b>Harvest (August–November)</b> | <b>Target Pest(s)</b>                              | <b>Comments</b>   |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|---|
| Chlorothalonil (Bravo)  | A, T                              |  | 1                                   | 1                       | 1   |                                  | Fruit rot, twig blight, general disease management | Go-to fungicide; important to use last for resistance management          |
| Copper hydroxide (Nucop, Kocide)  | A                                 |  | 1                                   | 1                       |   |                                  | Rose bloom, red leaf spot                          |   |
| Copper hydroxide + Mancozeb (Mankocide)                                 | A                                 |  |                                     | 1                       | 1   |                                  |  | General disease management  |
| Copper sulfate, lime (Bordeaux)   | A, T, P                           | 2  |                                     |                         |   |                                  |  | Not used  |
| Copper sulfate + sulfur (Top Cop w/Sulfur)                              | A, T, P                           |  |                                     |                         |   |                                  |  | Not used  |
| Fenbuconazole (Indar)   | —                                 |  |                                     | 2                       |   |                                  | Fruit rot  | Go-to product for fruit rot   |
| Ferbam (Ferbam Granuflo)  | A, P, B                           |  | 1                                   |                         |   |                                  |  | Not available for purchase on the West Coast in small units; pallets only |
| Mancozeb (Dithane F45, Manzate)   | T                                 |  | 1                                   | 1                       | 1   |                                  | Fruit rot, general disease management              | Go-to fungicide; important to use last for resistance management          |
| Mefenoxam (Ridomil Gold SL)   | —                                 |  |                                     |                         |   |                                  | Phytophthora root rot                              | Not used  |
| Mono- and dibasic sodium, potassium, and ammonium phosphates (Phostrol) | ND                                |  |                                     |                         |   |                                  | Phytophthora root rot                              | Not used  |
| Mono- and dipotassium salts of phosphoric acid (Rampart)                | ND                                |  |                                     |                         |   |                                  |  | Not used  |
| Polyoxin D zinc salt (OSO, Ph-D)  | —                                 |  |                                     | 2                       |   |                                  | Fruit rot  | Poor efficacy   |
| Potassium phosphite (Prophyt)   | —                                 |  |                                     |                         |   |                                  |  | Not used  |
| Propiconazole (Orbit)   | —                                 |  |                                     | 1                       |   |                                  | cottonball   | Not widely used   |
| Prothioconazole (Proline 480 SC)  | —                                 |  |                                     | 2                       |   |                                  | Fruit rot, lophodermium                            | Go-to product for fruit rot   |

| Pesticides                | Risks requiring mitigation | Dormancy–bud-break (November–April) | Shoot elongation (April–May) | Bloom (May–June) | Fruit set–development (June–September) | Harvest (August–November) | Target Pest(s)                                      | Comments   |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--|---------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Herbicides</b>         |                            |                                     |                              |                  |  |                           |   |  |
| 2,4-D (Weedar 64 in OR)   | —                          | 1                                   | 1                            | 1                | 1                                      | 1                         | Woody/ herbaceous perennials, rushes, sedges        | Weedar 64 wipe only; spot treatment year-round; 2,4-D granular in spring; may be broadcast |
| Chlorimuron ethyl (Curio) | —                          | 1                                   | 1                            | 1                |  |                           | Creeping buttercup, herbaceous perennials, bog rush | SLN, requires waiver of liability signature  |
| Clethodim (Select)        | —                          | 1                                   | 1                            | 1                | 1                                      | 1                         | Annual, perennial grasses                           | Spot treatment year-round  |
| Clopyralid (Stinger)      | —                          | 2                                   | 1                            |                  |  |                           | Lotus, sheep sorrel, asters, clovers                | Spot treatment year-round  |
| Dichlobenil (Casoron 46)  | T                          | 1                                   |                              |                  |  |                           | Annual broadleaves, equisetum, sedge, small willows |  |
| Glyphosate (Roundup)      | —                          | 1                                   | 1                            | 1                | 1                                      | 1                         | Misc. tall weeds, sedges                            | Hand applied as spot treatment year-round  |
| Mesotrione (Callisto)     | —                          |                                     | 1                            | 1                | 1                                      |                           | Lotus, small willows                                | Two applications only  |
| Napropamide (Devrinol)    | T                          | 1                                   |                              |                  |  |                           | lotus   |  |
| Norflurazon (E vital 5G)  | A, T                       | 1                                   |                              |                  |  |                           | Sedges, rushes, grasses                             |  |
| Quinclorac (QuinStar 4L)  | —                          |                                     | 2                            |                  | 1                                      |                           | Yellow loosestrife, cudweed                         |  |
| Sethoxydim (Poast)        | —                          | 1                                   | 1                            | 1                | 1                                      |                           | grasses   |  |

# Efficacy Ratings for INSECT Management Tools in Cranberry

Rating scale: E = excellent (90–100% control); G = good (80–90% control); F = fair (70–80% control); P = poor (< 70% control); ? = efficacy unknown, more research needed

| Management tools                       | Black-headed fireworm | Black vine weevil | Brown soft scale | Cranberry fruitworm | Cranberry girdler | Cranefly/leatherjacket | Cutworm | Greedy scale | Tipworm | Comments  |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------|--------------|---------|---|
| <b>Registered chemistries</b>          |                       |                   |                  |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         |   |
| Acephate (Orthene)                     | E                     |                   |                  |                     | G                 |                        |         | P            |         |   |
| Acetamiprid (Assail)                   |                       |                   |                  |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         | Not used; pollinator and MRL issues                       |
| Azadirachtin (Aza-direct, Neemix)      |                       |                   |                  |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         | Not effective   |
| Bt (DiPel)                             |                       |                   |                  |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         | Not effective   |
| Carbaryl (Sevin)                       |                       |                   |                  |                     |                   |                        |         |              | E       |   |
| Chlorantraniliprole (Altacor)          | E                     |                   |                  |                     | G                 |                        |         |              |         | Favored product   |
| Chlorpyrifos (Lorsban)                 | E                     | F                 | G                |                     |                   |                        |         | F            |         | Reserved for critical use                                 |
| Chromobacterium subtsugae (Grandevo)   | F                     |                   |                  |                     |                   |                        |         |              | P       | Not effective on most PNW cranberry insect pests          |
| Clothianidin (Belay)                   |                       |                   |                  |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         | Pollinator concerns                                       |
| Diazinon                               | E                     |                   |                  | G                   |                   |                        |         | F            |         | Product is critical to industry                           |
| Dinotefuran (Scorpion)                 |                       |                   |                  |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         | MRL and pollinator concerns                               |
| Imidacloprid (Admire Pro)              | E                     | G                 |                  |                     |                   |                        |         |              | P       | Pollinator concerns; does not work on organic soils       |
| Indoxacarb (Avaunt)                    | G                     | E                 |                  |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         | Only effective tool available for adult black vine weevil |
| Insecticidal soap (M-Pede)             |                       |                   | G                |                     |                   |                        |         | P            |         | Requires multiple applications                            |
| Methoxyfenozide (Intrepid)             | F                     |                   |                  |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         | Go to insecticide during bloom                            |
| Phosmet (Imidan 70W)                   | ?                     |                   |                  |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         |   |
| Pyrethrin (Pyrenone)                   | F                     |                   |                  |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         | Requires multiple applications to be effective            |
| Pyriproxifen                           |                       |                   |                  |                     |                   |                        |         | F            |         | Looks promising, more data needed                         |
| Sodium flualuminate (Cryolite 50 Dust) |                       | G                 |                  |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         | Not commonly used anymore                                 |
| Spinetoram (Delegate WG)               | G                     | G                 |                  |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         | Effective, but costly; used by organic growers            |
| Spinosad (Entrust)                     | G                     |                   |                  | F                   | P                 |                        | G       |              |         | Used by organic growers                                   |
| Thiamethoxam (Actara)                  |                       |                   |                  |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         | Pollinator concerns                                       |
| Tebufenozide (Confirm 2F)              |                       |                   |                  |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         | Use replaced by Intrepid                                  |

| Management tools  | Black-headed fireworm | Black vine weevil | Brown soft scale | Cranberry fruitworm | Cranberry girdler | Cranefly/leatherjacket | Cutworm | Greedy scale | Tipworm | Comments   |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------|--------------|---------|--|
| <b>Unregistered/new chemistries</b>   |                       |                   |                  |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         |  |
|   |                       |                   |                  |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         |  |
| <b>Biological</b>   |                       |                   |                  |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         |  |
| Entomopathogenic nematodes<br>( <i>Steinernema</i> <i>Carpocapsa</i> , or<br><i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i> ) |                       | F                 |                  |                     | F                 |                        |         |              |         | Used occasionally; spotty efficacy                       |
| <b>Cultural/nonchemical</b>   |                       |                   |                  |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         |  |
| Flooding  | G                     | G                 |                  |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         | Not an option for many beds;<br>difficult on large farms |

# Efficacy Ratings for DISEASE and PATHOGEN Management Tools in Cranberry

Rating scale: E = excellent (90–100% control); G = good (80–90% control); F = fair (70–80% control); P = poor (< 70% control); ? = efficacy unknown, more research needed

| Management tools  | Cottonball | False blossom | Fruit rot | Lophodermium twig blight | Phytophthora root rot | Red leaf spot | Red shoot | Rose bloom | Upright dieback | Comments  |
|---|------------|---------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------|------------|-----------------|---|
| <b>Registered chemistries</b>   |            |               |           |                          |                       |               |           |            |                 |   |
| Aluminum tris (Alette)  |            |               |           |                          |                       |               |           |            |                 | Not used  |
| Azoxystrobin (Abound)   |            |               | G-E       | G                        | G                     |               |           |            | F               | Efficacy with fenbuconazole                                 |
| Chlorothalonil (Bravo)  | E          | E             | E         | E                        | E                     | E             | E         | E          | E               | Expensive product; go-to product, requires 2–3 applications |
| Copper diammonia diacetate (Copper-Count-N)                             |            |               |           |                          |                       |               |           |            |                 | Not used  |
| Copper hydroxide (Nucop, Kocide)  |            |               |           |                          |                       | E             |           | G-E        |                 |   |
| Copper hydroxide + mancozeb (Mankocide)                                 | G          | G             | G-E       | G                        | G                     | G-E           | G         | G          | G               |   |
| Copper sulfate + lime (Bordeaux)  |            |               |           |                          |                       |               |           |            |                 | Not used  |
| Copper sulfate + sulfur (Top Cop w/Sulfur)                              |            |               |           |                          |                       |               |           |            |                 | Not used  |
| Fenbuconazole (Indar)   |            |               | E         |                          |                       |               |           |            |                 | Efficacy with Azoxystrobin                                  |
| Ferbam (Ferbam Granuflo)  |            |               | G         | F                        |                       |               |           | E          |                 | Not easily available on West Coast                          |
| Mancozeb (Dithane F45, Manzate)   | G          | G             | G-E       | G-E                      | G                     | G             | G         | G          | G               |   |
| Mefenoxam (Ridomil Gold SL)   |            |               |           |                          |                       |               |           |            |                 | Not used  |
| Mono- and dibasic sodium, potassium, and ammonium phosphates (Phostrol) |            |               |           |                          |                       |               |           |            |                 | Not used  |
| Mono- and dipotassium salts of phosphoric acid (Rampart)                |            |               |           |                          |                       |               |           |            |                 | Not used  |
| Polyoxin D zinc salt (OSO, Ph-D)  |            |               | P         |                          |                       |               |           |            |                 | Not widely used   |
| Potassium phosphite (Prophyt)   |            |               |           |                          |                       |               |           |            |                 | Not used  |
| Propiconazole (Orbit)   | G          |               |           |                          |                       |               |           |            |                 | Not widely used   |
| Prothioconazole (Proline 480 SC)  |            |               | E         | E                        |                       |               |           |            |                 | Cost-prohibitive; efficacy with azoxystrobin                |
| <b>Unregistered/new chemistries</b>                                     |            |               |           |                          |                       |               |           |            |                 |   |
| <b>Biological</b>   |            |               |           |                          |                       |               |           |            |                 |   |

| Management tools            | Cottonball | False blossom | Fruit rot | Lophodermium twig blight | Phytophthora root rot | Red leaf spot | Red shoot | Rose bloom | Upright dieback | Comments                |
|-----------------------------|------------|---------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------|------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| <b>Cultural/nonchemical</b> |            |               |           |                          |                       |               |           |            |                 |                         |
| Drainage                    |            |               |           | E                        | E                     |               |           |            | E               | Important for all pests |
| Sanding                     |            |               | F         |                          | E                     |               |           |            |                 | Important for all pests |
| Pruning                     |            |               |           |                          |                       |               |           |            |                 | Important for all pests |

# Efficacy Ratings for WEED Management Tools in Cranberry

Rating scale: E = excellent (90–100% control); G = good (80–90% control); F = fair (70–80% control); P = poor (<70% control); ? = efficacy unknown, more research needed

| Management tools                        | Cudweed | Annual rushes | Yellow loosestrife | Lotus | Sheep sorrel | Lily of the valley | Creeping bentgrass | Sedges | Comments   |
|---|---------|---------------|--------------------|-------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------|--|
| <b>Registered chemistries</b>           |         |               |                    |       |              |                    |                    |        |  |
| 2,4-D (Weedar 64 in OR)                 | P       | P             | P                  | P     | P            | P                  | P                  | P      | Rush control; alders, willows, salal, blackberry—E                         |
| Chlorimuron ethyl (Curio)               | P       | E             | F                  | F     | P            | P                  | P                  | P      | E for buttercup  |
| Clethodim (Select)                      |         |               |                    |       |              |                    | G–E                |        | Needs multiple apps to be effective  |
| Clopyralid (Stinger)                    | P       | P             | P                  | F–E   | P–F          | P                  | P                  | P      | Asters, clovers—E; needs two applications                                  |
| Dichlobenil (Casoron 46)                | F       | G             | F                  | P     | F–G          | P                  | P                  | P      | Annuals, perennials go-to G; Horsetail, fireweed—go-to product             |
| Glyphosate (Roundup)                    |         |               | F                  | F     |              |                    |                    | G      | Go-to product; G for woody species   |
| Mesotrione (Callisto)                   |         |               | P–F                | F–G   |              |                    |                    |        | G for small willows; requires multiple apps for lotus                      |
| Napropamide (Devrinol)                  | F       | F             |                    | F–E   |              |                    |                    |        |  |
| Norflurazon (Evital 5G)                 |         | G             |                    |       |              |                    | G                  | F–G    | G for grasses  |
| Quinclorac (QuinStar 4L)                | E       | P             | E                  | F–G   |              |                    |                    |        | Purple aster, goldenrod—G; E for young willows; G for horsetail            |
| Sethoxydim (Poast)                      |         |               |                    |       |              |                    | G–E                |        | Needs multiple applications  |
| <b>Unregistered/new chemistries</b>     |         |               |                    |       |              |                    |                    |        |  |
| Rimsulfuron (currently in IR-4 testing) |         | E             | P                  |       |              |                    |                    | E      | Ratings based on trial data  |
| <b>Cultural/nonchemical</b>             |         |               |                    |       |              |                    |                    |        |  |
| Flooding                                |         |               |                    |       |              |                    | ?                  |        | Possibly some control of grasses   |
| Hand weeding and string trimming        | F       | F             | P                  | P     | P–F          | P                  | P                  | P      | Most critical in new plantings to prevent the early establishment of weeds |

Note:

Weed size or stage of growth is an important consideration with most postemergence herbicides.

# Using PAMS Terminology

This system of terminology for IPM was developed for use by U.S. Federal agencies seeking to support adoption of IPM by farmers. The table below summarizes common tactics used in agricultural IPM using a “Prevention, Avoidance, Monitoring, Suppression” (PAMS) classification. We also define (*italicized* headings) the ecological purpose that lies behind a particular practice. The PAMS tables throughout the text provide a simple basis for surveying practices that are used at different crop growth stages in terms of their contribution to a comprehensive IPM program.

| <b>Summarizing Integrated Pest, Disease, and Weed Management (IPM) Tactics using PAMS Terminology</b>                    |
|--|
| Paul Jepson, IPPC, Oregon State University: <a href="mailto:paul.jepson@oregonstate.edu">paul.jepson@oregonstate.edu</a> |
| <b>PREVENTION</b>  |
| <b><i>Prevent introduction to the farm</i></b>   |
| Use pest-free seeds, transplants   |
| <b><i>Prevent reservoirs on the farm</i></b>   |
| Follow sanitation procedures   |
| Eliminate alternative hosts  |
| Eliminate favorable sites in and off crop  |
| <b><i>Prevent pest spread between fields on the farm</i></b>   |
| Clean equipment between fields   |
| <b><i>Prevent pest development within fields on the farm</i></b>   |
| Use irrigation scheduling to prevent disease development   |
| Prevent weed reproduction  |
| Prevent pest-susceptible perennial crops by avoiding high-risk locations   |
| <b>AVOIDANCE</b>   |
| <b><i>Avoid host crops for the pest</i></b>  |
| Rotate crops   |
| <b><i>Avoid pest-susceptible crops</i></b>   |
| Choose genetically resistant cultivars   |
| Choose cultivars with growth and harvest dates that avoid the pest   |
| Place annual crops away from high-risk sites for pest development (even parts of a field)                                |
| <b><i>Avoid crop being the most attractive host</i></b>  |
| Plant trap crops   |
| Use pheromones   |
| Optimize crop nutrition to promote rapid crop development  |
| <b><i>Avoid making the crop excessively nutritious</i></b>   |
| Optimize crop nutrition to promote rapid crop development  |
| Avoid excessive nutrients that benefit the pest  |
| <b><i>Avoid practices that increase the potential for pest losses</i></b>  |
| Use narrow row spacing   |
| Optimize in-row plant populations  |
| Use no-till or strip till  |

Continued on next page



## Summarizing Integrated Pest, Disease, and Weed Management (IPM) Tactics using PAMS Terminology

Paul Jepson, IPPC, Oregon State University: [paul.jepson@oregonstate.edu](mailto:paul.jepson@oregonstate.edu)

### MONITORING

#### ***Collect pests***

Use scouting and surveys

Use traps

#### ***Identify pests***

Use identification guides, diagnostic tools, and diagnostic laboratories

#### ***Identify periods or locations of high pest risk***

Use weather-based pest-development and risk models

Test soil and plant nutrients

#### ***Determine status and trends in pest risks and classify pest severity***

Maintain pest records over time for each field

#### ***Minimize pest risks over time***

Plan an appropriate PAMS IPM strategy, based upon pest status and trends

#### ***Determine interventions based upon risks and economics***

Use decision support tools, economic thresholds

### SUPPRESSION

#### CULTURAL

#### ***Out-compete the pest with other plants***

Plant cover crops

#### ***Suppress pest growth***

Mulch

#### ***Suppress pest with chemicals from crops or other plantings***

Plant biofumigant crops

#### PHYSICAL

#### ***Physically injure the pest or disrupt pest growth***

Cultivate

Mow

Flame

Manage temperature

Use exclusion devices

#### ***Physically remove pests***

Use mass trapping

Hand weeding

#### BIOLOGICAL

#### ***Suppress pest reproduction***

Use pheromones

Continued on next page

## Summarizing Integrated Pest, Disease, and Weed Management (IPM) Tactics using PAMS Terminology

Paul Jepson, IPPC, Oregon State University: [paul.jepson@oregonstate.edu](mailto:paul.jepson@oregonstate.edu)

### ***Increase pest mortality from predators, parasites, and pathogens***

Use conservation biological control

Use inundative release and classical biological control

Use pest antagonists

CHEMICAL

### ***Use least-risk, highest-efficacy pesticides***

Use economic thresholds to determine that pesticide use is economically justified

Apply pesticides as a last resort, as part of a PAMS IPM strategy

## Pesticide Risk Classification

Paul Jepson, Oregon State University

The pesticide risk analysis is based on the Oregon State University Integrated Plant Protection Center's state-of-the-science risk assessment tool ipmPRiME, a risk model that identifies moderate to high (10% or greater) risk (Jepson et al. 2014; Sustainable Agriculture Network, 2017). We analyzed a total of 800 pesticides, and 168 of these posed risks to human workers/bystanders, aquatic life, wildlife, and pollinators. The analysis is intended to provide guidance that is supplementary to the label, which is the primary source of risk management information and mandatory practices.

### **1. Risk to aquatic life**

Pesticides qualified for this risk category if one or more ipmPRiME aquatic risk models (aquatic algae, aquatic invertebrates, or fish chronic risk) exhibited high risk at a typical application rate.

### **2. Risk to terrestrial wildlife**

Pesticides qualified for this risk category if one or more ipmPRiME terrestrial risk models (avian reproductive, avian acute, or small mammal risk) exhibited high risk at a typical application rate.

### **3. Risk to pollinators**

Pesticides were selected based on a widely used hazard quotient (HQ) resulting of pesticide application rate in g a.i./ha (grams active ingredient per hectare), and contact LD50 for the honey bee (*Apis mellifera*). Values of HQ <50 have been validated as low risk in the European Union, and monitoring indicates that products with an HQ >2,500 are associated with a high risk of hive loss. The HQ value used by IPPC is >350, corresponding to a 15 percent risk of hive loss. The quotient includes a correction for systemic pesticides, where risks to bees are amplified.

### **4. Inhalation risk**

Inhalation risk to bystanders was calculated using the ipmPRiME model for inhalation toxicity (Jepson et al., 2014) calculated on the basis of child exposure and susceptibility. This index is protective for workers who may enter fields during or after application, and also bystanders.

---

Trade-name products and services are mentioned as illustrations only. This does not mean that the Oregon State University Extension Service either endorses these products and services or intends to discriminate against products and services not mentioned.

© 2018 Oregon State University. Extension work is a cooperative program of Oregon State University, the U.S. Department of Agriculture, and Oregon counties. Oregon State University Extension Service offers educational programs, activities, and materials without discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identity (including gender expression), sexual orientation, disability, age, marital status, familial/parental status, income derived from a public assistance program, political beliefs, genetic information, veteran's status, reprisal or retaliation for prior civil rights activity. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Oregon State University Extension Service is an AA/EOE/Veterans/Disabled.

Published July 2018